The objective of this study was to analyze differences in physical activity, quality of food consumption and breakfast between elementary school children with normal and overweight nutritional status in Bogor City of Indonesia during the Covid-19 pandemic. This was a cross sectional study carried out from September 2020 to January 2021 in nine elementary schools in Bogor City. This research was conducted when school from home had been running for about six months. Survey was conducted using a structured questionnaire filled by the subject's parents via google form and Microsoft word and then interviewing via whatsapp. Physical activity measured using the Physical Activity Level (PAL) method and food consumption quality using the Individual Dietary Diversity Score (IDDS). Breakfast quality based on the intake and contribution of energy and protein at breakfast. Result showed that 70% of male and 30% of female subjects were classified as overweight. There was a significant difference in the PAL value between subjects with normal weight and overweight (2.02 vs 1.63, p<0.05). There were no significant differences in IDDS of normal weight and overweight students in both weekday and weekend (p>0.05). However, IDDS scores of students with normal nutritional status was higher (7.08 and 8.60) compared to (6.80 and 6.78) in overweight students during the weekday and weekend respectively. In contrast, the energy and protein intake consumed during breakfast for overweight students was higher (617 kcal/day and 21 g/day) than students with normal nutritional status (477 kcal/day and 18.2 g/day) (p<0.05). This study has shown the importance to educate parents of overweight subjects to increase their children's physical activity as the students with normal nutrition status do as well as to provide breakfast with a more diverse menu.
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INTRODUCTION

Human capital is an important investment for the country growth and development this started since the early age. In addition to education, health and nutrition are also important contributors for improving the quality of future human resources. However, Indonesia is currently experiencing a triple burden of malnutrition, this encompasses the problem of under nutrition, micronutrient deficiencies and the problem of over-nutrition (overweight and obesity). Obesity is an increase fat mass either in certain parts or all parts of the body, or being overweight exceeds 20% of normal body weight (Mahan & Escott-Stump 2008).

Based on data from the Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia (MoH RI 2018) the problem of obesity in children aged 5–12 years in West Java was still high at 18.8%, consisting of overweight 10.7% and obese 8.1%. Meanwhile, the prevalence of overweight children aged 5–12 years in Bogor City was 17.2%. Several factors that have been associated with obesity in children were sedentary lifestyle, unhealthy environment and food consumption. These factors can be seen at home, at school and in the community. The current Covid-19 pandemic accentuate this unhealthy environment. The sedentary lifestyle is characterized by decreased human movement, so that the level of physical activity and energy expenditure becomes lower. This lifestyle change was thought to be one of the triggering factors for increasing overweight and obesity (Oktaiani et al. 2012). Annisa (2014) shown that 78.8% of overweight students in Bogor City have light activities or sedentary lifestyle.

Indonesian usually eat several meals a day, this includes breakfast, lunch, dinner and snacking. The quality of food consume during
the meal time can be measured qualitatively by its
diversity. Dewanti (2020) wrote that diversifying
one’s food consumption is an effort to meet their
nutritional needs, because no single food contains
all the nutrients. In addition, Retraningrum and
Dieny (2015) showed that low quality in food
consumption and lack of physical activity affect
the obesity status of children and adolescents.
Among all meals, breakfast was deemed as one of
the most important meal for the day. Breakfast is
an eating and drinking done in the morning until
9 a.m. to meet 15–30% of daily nutritional needs.
Breakfast should meet 300–500 kcal and 6–10 g
of protein (Hardinsyah & Aries 2012). Milimet
et al. (2010), stated that skipping breakfast can
increase risk for weight gain by triggering eating
more food during the day and at night. According
to Mariza and Kusumastuti (2013) children's
breakfast habits can affect children's snacking
habits, where children who do not usually eat
breakfast can increase the risk of snacking by
1.5 times. Students who skip breakfast tend to
consume more snacks with higher calories.
Obese children have high risk of becoming
obese as adults and have the potential to experience chronic non communicable diseases,
including cardiovascular disease, hypertension,
diabetes and others (Agustina et al. 2019). Based
the background mentioned above, this research
aimed to analyse differences in physical activity,
quality of food consumption and breakfast
between elementary school children with normal
and overweight nutritional status in Bogor City
during pandemic of Covid-19. The data was
taken in Bogor City because the prevalence of
overweight and obesity in children in the city
were high. The context of Covid-19 pandemics
adds a nuance to the data collection procedure as
well as its analysis.

METHODS

Design, location, and time
This research was an analytic observational
study utilizing a cross sectional design conducted
from September 2020 to January 2021. The
School from Home (SFH) policy has been
running for six months when the data collection
was started. The research was conducted online
in nine elementary schools in Bogor City. The study
had obtained permission and approval from the
Research Ethics Commission of the Institute for
Research and Community Service (LPPM) IPB
University with the number: 296/IT3.KEPMSM-
IPB/SK/2020.

Sampling
The subjects of this study were students
(grades four and five) with the age range of 10–11
years old from nine elementary schools (Sekolah
Dasar) (SD) in Bogor city. The calculation of the
minimum number of samples was based on to the
proportion children with normal nutritional status
and overweight with low physical activity which
was 31.8% and 68.2% respectively, Rahma and
Bambang (2020). The minimum number of
subjects obtained was 38 children for each group.
However, to anticipate drop out, the total number
of participants was increased to 50 children
with normal nutritional status and 50 children
with overweight nutritional status (overweight
and obesity). The sampling technique in this
study was convenience sampling. Etikan et al.
(2016) stated that convenience sampling is used
for both qualitative and quantitative studies
although it is most often used in quantitative
studies. Convenience sampling is a type of
nonrandom sampling in which members of the
target population selected as sample are those
who meet certain practical criteria such as easy
accessibility, geographic proximity, availability
at a certain time or willingness to participate.
Sampling selection was done through
several steps, first is to obtain permission from the
school principals. The principal then mandated
the homeroom teacher to convey information
related to the research to parents of students via
WhatsApp messages. Parents who are willing to
become respondents then join a special WhatsApp
group for the research. The data collection was
done consecutively one school at the time. Each
school gets a different number of respondents.
The number of respondents in each school are as
follow: SD Insan Kamil six people (four normal
nutritional status and three overweight), SD Sinar
Indonesia two people (one normal nutritional
status and one overweight), SD Bina Bangsa
Sejahtera 11 people (eight normal nutritional
status and three overweight), SD Al-Mustarih
20 people (eight normal nutritional status and 12
overweight), SD Bosowa Bina Insani 19 people
(13 normal nutritional status and six overweight),
SD Aliya 17 people (eight normal nutritional
status and nine overweight), SD Al-Munawwar
five people (three normal nutritional status and two overweight), SD IT-ABN 12 people (six normal nutritional status and six overweight), and SD Insantama eight people (0 normal nutritional status and eight overweight). The total subjects participated in this study were 100 people.

**Data collection**

The data collection method was adapted to the School from Home (SFH) condition. During the Covid-19 pandemic, the school and parents did not give permission for researchers to meet at home or at school. Therefore, the measurements of height and weight were carried out by parents at their respective homes. Weight was measured using home scale and height was measured using measuring tape. Subject were group based on the anthropometric screening results of weight and height to obtain their Body Mass Index (BMI). The nutritional status group was seen based on the BMI’s Z-score. Subjects who had z-score value of -2SD to +1SD are included in the normal nutritional status group. Subjects who had z-score value of +1SD to +2SD and >+2SD are included in overweight nutritional status group (Permenkes RI 2020).

The data on subjects’ characteristics, physical activity and food consumption were filled out by parents through a questionnaire in google form and Microsoft Word, then a follow up interview was conducted by phone. The children did not fill out any of the questionnaire because measurements and questionnaire filled by mothers were considered as more valid, since mothers were responsible for providing food for their children every day.

Data on physical activity collected includes the type of activity and the time allocation for each activity. Physical activity data consisted of the average physical activity on weekday and weekends. Physical activity was expressed in terms of physical activity level or Physical Activity Level (PAL). PAL is the amount of energy expended (kcal) per kilogram of body weight in 24 hours. PAR (Physical Activity Rate) was the amount of energy expended for a certain type of activity per unit of time. PAR values differ from one activity to another. PAL was calculated by the total multiplication formula of PAR with the time allocation for each activity then divided by 24 hours. Physical Activity Level (PAL) was categorized into four, namely: very light (PAL ≤1.39); light (PAL 1.40–1.69); moderate (PAL 1.70–1.99); and heavy (PAL 2.00–2.40) (FAO/WHO/UNU 2001).

Food consumption data was obtained through 2x24 hours food recalls on weekday and weekend which then translated into Individual Dietary Diversity Score (IDDS). The diversity of food consumption was calculated based on nine food groups, namely starchy staple foods; green vegetable; fruit and vegetable sources of vitamin A; fruit and vegetables and others; innards; meat; fish and chicken; egg; pods, beans, and seeds; and milk and its processed products. Any food group consumed for ≥10 g was assigned a score of one, while score of zero was assigned for consumption of less than 10 g. Kennedy et al. (2007) dietary diversity based on IDDS can be grouped into three categories, namely low diversity (≤3 types of food groups/day), moderate diversity (4–5 types of food groups/day) and high diversity (≥6 types of food groups/day). Data regarding calorie contribution from breakfast were obtained from food record in a week.

**Data analysis**

Data processing and analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel 2017 and SPSS version 17.0 for Windows. Associations between the children’s nutritional status with categorical variables were tested using chi-square test. Kolmogrov Smirnov test was used to check for normality. Independent sample t-test was used for data with normal distribution. While, Mann-Whitney test was used for non-normally distributed data.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characteristics of subjects**

Table 1 showed that there were significant differences between the gender of normal and overweight subjects (p<0.05) with more male (70%) were overweight compared to female (30%). A study among elementary school children in Banda Aceh by Rahmad's (2019) showed that there were more female (59.5%) with obesity compared to male (40.5%). However, it should bear in mind that this study focused on overweight rather than obesity and used convenience sampling. As shown in Table 1, there was no significant difference in the age of subjects with normal nutritional status and
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Table 1. Characteristics of subjects based on nutritional status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of subjects</th>
<th>Normal (n=50)</th>
<th>Overweight (n=50)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26 (52.0)</td>
<td>35 (70.0)</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24 (48.0)</td>
<td>15 (30.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years old)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36 (72.0)</td>
<td>35 (70.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12 (24.0)</td>
<td>15 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2 (4.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance (IDR/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;IDR10,000</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDR10,000–19,999</td>
<td>46 (92.0)</td>
<td>45 (90.0)</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥IDR20,000</td>
<td>3 (6.0)</td>
<td>2 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square test; *Significance p<0.05
IDR: Indonesian Rupiah

overweight nutritional status (p>0.05). Most of the subjects were ten years old, both in normal subjects (72.0%) and overweight subjects (70.0%). According to Ermona and Wirjatmadi (2018), children at the age of ten both boys and girls, have an appetite that tends to increase during their growth period towards adolescence. The results showed that there was no significant difference between the daily allowances of normal and overweight subjects (p>0.05). The majority of the normal subjects (92.0%) and overweight subjects (90.0%) were given pocket money of IDR10,000–19,999 per day. According to Faghhi et al. (2015) children who get a larger amount of pocket money tend to consume fast food more often which lead to unhealthy lifestyle as one of the factors causing obesity.

Physical activity

Physical activity was body movement characterized by the work of skeletal muscles and increased energy and energy expenditure (MoH RI 2018). Table 2 showed the average of activity duration between normal and overweight subjects on weekday and weekend during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Table 2 showed that there were significant differences in duration of sleep, personal activity, online learning, playing and light activity between normal and overweight subjects (p<0.05). Overweight subjects had significantly longer duration of sleep, online learning and light activities (except for playing Lego) compared with normal subject. While, normal subject had significantly longer duration of personal activity and playing than overweight subjects. Na’imah’s research (2014) showed that subjects who got enough sleep (7–9 hours a day) tended to have a normal body mass index compared to subjects who have less sleep duration (<7 hours a day).

Spaeth et al. (2019) stated that less sleep is associated with higher Body Mass Index (BMI). Sleep problems can affect the body’s hormones and metabolism. In addition, Muscogiuri et al. (2019) found that increased intake of unhealthy food consumption occurs when hormones and metabolism were in abnormal conditions and it can trigger weight gain.

The average duration of watching TV and playing gadgets was significantly longer in overweight subjects than normal subject. The average screen time for both groups during the Covid-19 pandemic was more than two hours per day. In contrast, Xiang et al. (2020) found that before Covid-19 pandemic about 92.7% of children and adolescents in China had screen time duration of two hours per day or less while only 7.3% had screen time duration of more than two hours per day. American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) (2001) stated that Low Screen Time (LST) is a screen time with a duration of <2 hours per day and High Screen Time (HST) with a duration of two hours per day. Chassiakos et al. (2016) also recommends screen time duration for children and adolescents as much as two hours per day.

Screen time viewing was one of the external factors that influence diet through exposure to and promotion of unhealthy diets and lifestyle. Tarabashkina et al. (2016) stated that screen time can cause higher energy intake, one of which was caused by exposure to food and beverage advertisements that are widely offered on television. The products offered in advertisements are generally foods that contain high energy. Children exposed to advertisements tend to consume the advertised food so that they have a higher energy intake than children who...
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According to Pinho et al. (2017) poor diet can increase BMI and causing obesity. In addition, longer screen time mean less time for physical activity and sedentary behavior in adolescents was one of the risk factors that can cause obesity. Further, Laurson et al. (2014) stated that screen time can cause sleep disturbances. This was caused by the presence of artificial light from the screen so that it interferes with the hormonal response. Decreased sleep time, one of which is caused by artificial light from excessive screen time, thereby increasing sleep deprivation and increasing energy intake. According to Bel et al. (2013) adolescents who sleep less than eight hours have higher fat intake, consumption of foods high in energy content and low quality of diet (foods with high energy density and low nutrient content) when compared to adolescents who sleep ≥8 hours a day.

During pandemic of Covid-19, subject distribution based on their physical activity level can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that there was significant difference in physical activity between normal and overweight subjects (p=0.000). During the Covid-19 pandemic, 64.0% of normal subjects had heavy physical activity with PAL value of 2.02. While on the other hand, most of the overweight subjects (90.0%) had light physical activity with PAL value of 1.63. The results showed that during pandemic of Covid-19, normal subject had better physical activity than overweight subject. Similarly, Rizkiyah (2015) showed that normal subjects had higher PAL value than overweight subject, despite both groups were classified as having light physical activity. In addition, Jiménez-Pavón (2010), also found that children with low physical activity levels had higher Body Mass Index than normal and had greater chance of experiencing more nutritional problems. Colley et al. (2013),

---

Table 3. The differences of activity duration between normal and overweight subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Normal (hours)</th>
<th>Overweight (hours)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>8.85±0.18</td>
<td>9.71±0.50</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td>2.89±0.11</td>
<td>2.66±0.13</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praying</td>
<td>1.64±0.11</td>
<td>1.40±0.13</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take a bath</td>
<td>0.50±0.00</td>
<td>0.51±0.03</td>
<td>0.047**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat</td>
<td>0.75±0.00</td>
<td>0.75±0.00</td>
<td>0.500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online learning</td>
<td>2.64±0.31</td>
<td>3.34±0.60</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry out task</td>
<td>0.89±0.31</td>
<td>1.59±0.60</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School from home</td>
<td>1.75±0.00</td>
<td>1.75±0.00</td>
<td>0.500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing</td>
<td>5.00±0.67</td>
<td>1.89±0.60</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>2.09±0.47</td>
<td>0.93±0.50</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badminton</td>
<td>0.43±0.35</td>
<td>0.03±0.12</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>0.51±0.59</td>
<td>0.15±0.25</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping pong</td>
<td>0.57±0.50</td>
<td>0.27±0.31</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jumping rope</td>
<td>0.21±0.38</td>
<td>0.02±0.07</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hide and seek</td>
<td>1.19±0.56</td>
<td>0.49±0.33</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light activity</td>
<td>4.62±0.53</td>
<td>6.40±0.78</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching television</td>
<td>2.16±0.50</td>
<td>3.53±0.75</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing gadget</td>
<td>1.26±0.42</td>
<td>2.15±0.56</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing lego</td>
<td>1.20±0.76</td>
<td>0.72±10.76</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Mann-whitney test; 2Independent sample t-test; *Significance p<0.05
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showed that physical activity was associated with the incidence of over-nutrition in children. This happens because of an imbalance between energy intake and energy released from the body.

**Quality of food consumption**

Table 4 showed the difference in the average score of each food groups consumed based on the IDDS for normal and overweight subjects on school days (weekday). While Table 5 showed the same information for weekend. Children from the normal weight group had a significantly higher average scores in consumption of Vitamin A source fruit and vegetable and other fruits and vegetables (p<0.05). The average score of fruit and vegetable sources of vitamin A was 0.92 food groups/day in children with normal weight and 0.80 food groups/day in the overweight group. Normal subject had an average score of 0.98 food groups/day for other fruits and vegetables while the overweight group was 0.84 food groups/day. This implies that, more students in the normal weight group consumed vitamin A source fruits and vegetables as well as other fruits and vegetables. However, there was no difference in the total score of IDDS between normal and overweight subjects (p=0.227) and both groups had a high IDDS based on Kennedy et al. (2007) since both groups consumed more than 6 types of food groups/day. The average IDDS for children in the normal weight group was 7.08 (SD 1.96) while in the overweight group was 6.80 (SD 2.75).

In contrast to the IDDS during the weekday, on the weekend the consumption of fruits and vegetables and vitamin A source food showed no significant difference in both groups. The total IDDS also showed no significant difference between the two groups. The IDDS average score in the group with normal weight was 8.60 (SD 5.95) food groups/day or higher compared to the weekday, and among the overweight it was 6.78 (SD 2.73) food groups/day or almost the same as the weekday. This showed that during the weekend, children with normal weight tend to eat more food groups.

**Table 3. Subject distribution based on physical activity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity level (PAL)</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th></th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low (≤1.39)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (1.40–1.69)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (1.70–1.99)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (2.00–2.40)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. The difference of average score for diversity of food consumption in normal and overweight subjects based on food groups during weekday**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Individual dietary diversity score</th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>Overweight (Mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starchy staple food</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green vegetable</td>
<td>0.90±0.30</td>
<td>0.90±0.30</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits and vegetables sources of vitamin A</td>
<td>0.92±0.27</td>
<td>0.80±0.40</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>0.98±0.14</td>
<td>0.84±0.37</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innards</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.04±0.20</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, fish, and poultry</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.96±0.20</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>0.62±0.49</td>
<td>0.66±0.48</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pod, peanuts, grains</td>
<td>0.80±0.40</td>
<td>0.74±0.44</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and processed products</td>
<td>0.86±0.35</td>
<td>0.86±0.35</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual dietary diversity score (IDDS)</td>
<td>7.08±1.96</td>
<td>6.80±2.75</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mann-whitney test; *Significance p<0.05
The study of Swamilaksita and Sa'pang (2018) showed that there was no significant difference in IDDS in children with normal nutritional status and obesity (p=0.791). However, in their study the mean IDDS was very low at only 1.5 (SD 0.5) food groups/day. The food groups most consumed by school children in West Jakarta in the study are sources of carbohydrates (bread, rice, potatoes), sources of animal protein (sausage, eggs, chicken), and sources of vegetable protein (tempe, green beans, tofu). Similarly, Nurarachmat (2016) also found no significant difference in the diversity of food consumption between normal and obese school children (p=0.705) with the average IDDS of five food groups/day in children with normal weight and 4.89 food groups/day in children with obesity. The most common food groups consumed in the study were starchy staple foods; meat, fish, chicken; and eggs.

**Breakfast quality**

Table 6 showed the intake and contribution of energy and protein from breakfast for normal weight and overweight subjects. The energy intake from breakfast for overweight subjects (617 kcal) was greater than normal subjects (477 kcal). Protein intake for overweight subjects (21 g) was higher than normal subjects (18.2 g). According to Hardinsyah (2012) breakfast fulfills about 15–25% of daily nutritional needs. Breakfast energy intake should meet 300–500 kcal/day.

### Table 5. The difference of dietary diversity score between normal and overweight subjects based on food groups during weekend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Individual dietary diversity score (IDDS)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>Overweight (Mean±SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starchy staple food</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green vegetable</td>
<td>0.92±0.27</td>
<td>0.90±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits and vegetables sources of vitamin A</td>
<td>0.90±0.30</td>
<td>0.90±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>0.80±0.40</td>
<td>0.86±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innards</td>
<td>0.06±0.24</td>
<td>0.08±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, fish, and poultry</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.98±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>0.54±0.50</td>
<td>0.56±0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pod, peanuts, grains</td>
<td>0.86±0.35</td>
<td>0.82±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and processed products milk</td>
<td>0.70±0.46</td>
<td>0.68±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual dietary diversity score (IDDS)</td>
<td>8.60±5.95</td>
<td>6.78±2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mann-whitney test; *Significance p<0.05

### Table 6. Differences energy and protein intake from breakfast between normal and overweight subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy and Protein</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>477±71.7</td>
<td>617±140.1</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to daily intake (%)</td>
<td>28.4±3.3</td>
<td>27.6±4.1</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to energy adequacy (%)</td>
<td>29.9±6.3</td>
<td>31.3±6.9</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake (g/day)</td>
<td>18.2±2.8</td>
<td>21.0±3.9</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to daily intake (%)</td>
<td>30.5±6.6</td>
<td>28.6±5.8</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to protein adequacy (%)</td>
<td>41.0±8.4</td>
<td>42.4±8.3</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Independent sampel t-test; *Significance p<0.05
kcal/day and protein intake 6–10 g/day. Thus, results showed that energy intake from breakfast for children with normal weight was within the recommended range, while for the overweight subjects it exceeded the recommended range. On the other hand, the protein intake from breakfast in children in both groups exceeded the recommended limit.

Table 6 showed that during pandemic of Covid-19, there was no difference in the contribution of energy to daily intake and energy adequacy in the two groups of subjects (p>0.05). Likewise, there was no difference in the contribution of protein to daily intake and protein adequacy in the two groups of subjects (p>0.05). In normal subjects, breakfast contributed energy and protein to the daily intake greater than the overweight subjects. In normal subjects, the contribution of breakfast contributed energy and protein to nutritional adequacy was greater than the overweight subjects. The results of the study were in accordance with Ifdal (2014), who found that among university students the energy intake from breakfast in overweight subject (425 kcal/day) was greater than normal subject (365 kcal/day). Protein intake from breakfast of overweight subject (10.1 g/day) was greater than normal subject (9.6 g/day). A study on school children in Banda Aceh showed that 59.5% of obese school children had a poor breakfast intake. Breakfast intake is considered inadequate if it contributes less than 200–300 kcal/day or more than 200–300 kcal/day. In the study, more than half (57.1%) of school children with normal nutritional status had adequate breakfast intake of around 200–300 kcal/day (Rahmad 2019). Our study found that the intake of energy and protein was higher among our subjects during the pandemic compared to previous study, this might be because children are more likely to consume staple food, meat, fish and poultry than fruits and vegetables during this time.

The was conducted during the Covid-19 pandemic, which has not been widely carried out. Process of calculating the difference in the duration of each activity carried out by the subject as well as the quality of food consumption using the IDDS method. This can indirectly educate parents and increase their awareness on the importance of physical activity and dietary diversity for their children. However, due to the mobility restriction during the Covid-19 pandemic, the anthropometry measurement of the subject's weight and height was not carried out by the researcher and the subject population only came from private schools due to the use of convenience sampling method.

CONCLUSION

In this study found more male subjects with overweight status than female. During pandemic of Covid-19, subjects with normal nutritional status had higher level of physical activity (PAL 2.02) than subjects with overweight nutritional status (PAL 1.63). Overall, the total score of diversity from normal subject was higher than of overweight subject (weekday=7.08; weekend=8.60). The intake of energy and protein from breakfast was significantly higher in overweight subject (617 kcal energy and protein 21 g/day) than in normal subject (477 kcal energy and 18.2 g protein/day). Recommendations for further research, to improve sampling method to cover more diverse demography, to get more valid results it is better to measure height and weight directly by researchers and measure breakfast quality based on macronutrients (energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrates).
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